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**Instructions for completing and submitting this form**

* Your personal details are registered in our grant management system called MIDAS. You can modify your personal details or add information via the system. This review form will be sent to the applicant in anonymised form and therefore has no space for your personal details.
* You are asked to fill in your Person ID. This is a unique code, created by MIDAS, that cannot be traced back to you personally by the applicant. Please find your Person ID in MIDAS through clicking on the profile picture at the top-right corner of your screen and subsequently choose for Edit my details.
* Please convert the completed form into a **searchable PDF file**. Upload the PDF file to your digital submission form in MIDAS.

**Person ID:**

**1. Project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Project code |  |
| Project title |  |
| Acronym (optional) |  |
| Applicant name |  |

**2. Project Leader**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **poor** | **fair** | **good** | **very good** | **excellent** |
| **Performance**  *What is your assessment of the project leader’s performance on this project?* |  |  |  |  |  |

*Please review (in text) the project leader along the criteria.*

**3.** FINAL RESULTS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **poor** | **fair** | **good** | **very good** | **excellent** |
| **Research questions**  *Were the research questions answered?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Deliverables**  *Has the project delivered what was promised?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Publications**  *What is your assessment of number and quality of (non-)scientific publications?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Dissemination**  *How do you consider the project results to have been disseminated (to the scientific community and/or specific target groups and/or general public)?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Products**  *Has the project delivered research and/or healthcare products that were promised?* |  |  |  |  |  |

*Please review (in text) the output of the project along the criteria.*

**4.** STRATEGY AND FOLLOW-UP TOWARDS IMPACT

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **poor** | **fair** | **good** | **very good** | **excellent** |
| **Impact potential**  *What is the potential for impact on (future) kidney patients and prevention of kidney disease?* |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Cost-benefit**  *Was the project worth the investment?* |  |  |  |  |  |

*Please review (in text) the impact of the project results along the criteria.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The results justify pursuing a follow-up plan towards impact for patients (or prevention of disease) |  |
| The results are negative and it does not seem worthwhile to test the hypothesis any further |  |
| The results are insufficient to form an opinion about the hypothesis |  |

*Please choose (X) one of the following statements and motivate your choice (in text):*

**5. Conclusions**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **poor** | **fair** | **good** | **very good** | **excellent** |
| Overall |  |  |  |  |  |

*Please summarize (in text) the final results of the project and include your opinion about the following statements:*

* *I agree/disagree with the project leader about what we can learn from the project.*
* *I support/do not support (or have additional remarks regarding) the follow up plans proposed by the project leader.*

**6. Recommendation for the Dutch Kidney Foundation (choose one option)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Approve the report, no questions |  |
| Approve the report, with questions to be answered by project leader |  |
| Do not yet approve the report. A couple of specific questions should be answered first |  |
| Request additional information, to be reviewed. The report does not provide sufficient information for review [important components, e.g. data(analyses), are lacking] |  |
| Reject the report, and request a revised report, to be reviewed |  |
| Reject the report, because e.g. it is clear that the project has not delivered enough results and there is no convincing evidence that the project leader has taken the right steps to overcome problems |  |

*Motivation for your recommendation/ other comments:*